Embargoed until: 5 July: 7am EST / 12pm UK time / 11am GMT



PRESS RELEASE

Some of the world's poorest people are bearing the costs of tropical forest conservation.

Global conservation targets should not be met at the cost of the world's poor. The first study to evaluate a policy aiming to compensate local people for the costs of conservation has revealed that, despite good intentions, the poor have lost out.

Tropical forests are important to all of us on the planet. As well as being home for rare and fascinating biodiversity (like the lemurs of Madagascar), tropical forests lock up enormous amounts of carbon helping to stabilise our climate. However tropical forests are also home to many hundreds of thousands of people whose lives can be affected by international conservation policies.

Multilateral donors such as the World Bank have made clear commitments that those negatively impacted by their projects should be compensated. This includes those affected by conservation projects such as those intended to slow climate change by preventing tropical deforestation (a scheme known as REDD+ or Reducing Emissions from Deforestation and Forest Degradation). Researchers have, for the first time, studied one such compensation scheme in depth and revealed it to be inadequate.

The researchers from Bangor University in the UK and the University of Antananarivo in Madagascar looked at a new protected area and REDD+ pilot project in the eastern rainforests of Madagascar called the Coridor Ankeniheny Zahamena (or CAZ). This conservation project is conserving very high profile biodiversity (including the Indri-the largest lemur in the world), but a big part of the rationale for protecting the area is climate mitigation; locking up carbon to combat climate change.

In their paper, peer-reviewed and published in *PeerJ* – *the Journal of Life & Environmental Sciences,* the researchers show that the new conservation restrictions bring very significant costs to local people (representing up to 85%)

of local annual incomes). Compensation, in the form of help with improved agriculture, was offered to a small subset of people but none were fully compensated.

The researchers estimate that 27,000 people have been negatively impacted by the conservation project. These are people who are extremely poor by any measure.

The cost of conservation are real as Dr Sarobidy Rakotonarivo, a Malagasy researcher involved in the research, explains: "Those who clear land for agriculture are often those that are most food insecure. Beyond the economic costs of not being able to grow food to feed their family, local people suffer from conservation enforcement. I have heard first hand reports of people being arrested and held in deplorable conditions for cultivating on forest fallow which they consider ancestral land. In a country where jail conditions are inhumane, this shows how desperate people are."

The compensation offered was in the form of agricultural development support. While many people appreciated this support, too few people received it, those who did receive it tended not to be the most in need, and the value of the support was very low when compared to the costs of conservation. Professor Julia Jones, one of the researchers, suggests that proper, effective compensation should be affordable. "While our results show that policies which promise to compensate communities for the cost of conservation are not being met, this is not a case of corruption. Money has not gone missing. The truth is that the world is not currently paying enough to ensure that poor local people are properly compensated. We show that if rich countries were willing to pay the full social cost of carbon, proper compensation could be affordable."

The conclusions were based on in-depth interviews with a sample of 603 people from several communities over a period of more than 2 years. Fieldwork was very intensive and households were visited up to three times over the course of the study.

The researchers point out that excluding local people from protected areas can create other problems. Apart from being environmentally unjust, uncompensated losses can cause antagonism between conservationists and local people whereas cooperation is vital to successful management of protected areas.

Professor Jones adds: "These are difficult results to present. I strongly believe that conservation of Madagascar's rainforests is hugely important (for Madagascar and for the world) and know many dedicated and extremely hard working people working in conservation in Madagascar. This is no criticism of them. However if the international community underpay for the true cost of conservation, then the rich world is essentially freeloading on extremely poor forest residents; gaining benefits while they bear the costs."

Editor's Notes:

- Full paper: Poudyal, M., Jones, J.P.G., Rakotonarivo., O.S., Hockley, N., Gibbons, J.M., Mandrimbiniaina, R., Rasoamanana, A., Andrianantenaina, N.S. (2018) <u>Who bears the cost of forest</u> <u>conservation?</u> peerj DOI 10.7717/peerj.5106 (link won't work until embargo lifts)
- 2. REDD+ was approved at the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change meeting in Paris in 2015 as part of global efforts to slow climate change.
- 3. This research was carried out by a team from Bangor University, UK and the University of Antananarivo, Madagascar. It was funded by the Ecosystem Services for Poverty Alleviation programme (a collaboration between the Natural Environment Research Council, Economic and Society Research Council, and the Department for International Development) as part of the p4ges project (www.p4ges.org).
- 4. The Coridor Ankeniheny Zahamena is a new protected area and REDD+ pilot project established by Conservation International with funding from the World Bank. The World Bank are one of the largest funders of conservation in the world (they were spending \$275 million annually creating and supporting parks in developing countries in 2011) <u>https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/j.1755-</u> <u>263X.2011.00172.x</u>.
- 5. Previous research (from the same research team as this) has shown that there are biases in who benefits from compensation for the costs of conservation in the CAZ.
- The research shows that between \$250,000 and \$450,000 was spent on compensation while the true local costs were \$13-15 million dollars. However, a conservative estimate (based on social cost of carbon) of the value of the avoided carbon to the world is \$110 million over 10 years.

IMAGES



Co-author Rina Mandimbiniaina entering data from an interview, looked on by a participating household. © S. Rakotonarivo



Many people in Madagascar's rainforest regions live many hours walk from the nearest road. Our team would spend weeks at a time in a village collecting data and returned up to three times over the two years of data collection. © JPG Jones



Getting around in eastern Madagascar is difficult; many routes are only passable in the dry season. © S. Rakotonarivo



Local people in the area depend traditionally on shifting agriculture; clearing land from the forest, growing a series of crops, before leaving the land to regenerate and regain fertility. © M Poudyal



Compensation was provided in the form of support for new agricultural techniques; such as improved bean cultivation. © M Poudyal



Co-Author Rina Mandimbiniaina interviewing a farmer on the edge of Madagascar's rainforests. © JPG Jones



People living on the forest frontier in Madagascar depend on shifting agriculture for their livelihood. © Madagasikara Voakajy



The rainforests of eastern Madagascar are home to extraordinary biodiversity such as these diademed sifaka (a type of endangered lemur). © N Garbutt (NB please contact Nick for watermark free image nick Garbutt <u>nick@nickgarbutt.com</u>)



The rainforests of eastern Madagascar are home to extraordinary biodiversity such as the indri-the largest lemur in Madagascar. © N Garbutt (NB please contact Nick for watermark free image nick Garbutt <u>nick@nickgarbutt.com</u>)



The rainforests of eastern Madagascar are home to extraordinary biodiversity such as the indri-the largest lemur in Madagascar. © N Garbutt (NB please contact Nick for watermark free image nick Garbutt <u>nick@nickgarbutt.com</u>)



Co-author Sarobidy Rakotonarivo carrying out choice experiments with a household to estimate the opportunity costs of conservation. © N Hockley



Cp-author Alexandra Rasoamanana training a local field assistant to use a GPS (used for mapping the boundary of farmer's fields). © JPG Jones

Full Media Pack including image:

https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/13v8DQ-dn3PSVufNwYBmIEYw3s8BmQIk?usp=sharing

###

EMBARGOED until 5 July, 2018: 7 am EST; 12 midday UK local time; 11 am GMT (i.e. the date of publication)

Link to the Published Version of the article (quote this link in your story – the link will ONLY work after the embargo lifts): <u>https://peerj.com/articles/5106</u> your readers will be able to freely access this article at this URL.

###

About:

PeerJ is an Open Access publisher of two peer-reviewed journals and a preprint server. PeerJ's mission is to help the world efficiently publish its knowledge. All works published by PeerJ are Open Access and published using a Creative Commons license (CC-BY 4.0). PeerJ is based in San Diego, CA and the UK and can be accessed at <u>peerj.com</u>

PeerJ – the Journal of Life & Environmental Sciences is the peer-reviewed journal for Biology, Medicine and Environmental Sciences. *PeerJ* has recently added 15 areas in environmental science subject areas, including Natural Resource Management, Climate Change Biology, and Environmental Impacts. <u>peerj.com/environmental-sciences</u>

PeerJ has an Editorial Board of over 1,900 respected academics, including 5 Nobel Laureates. PeerJ was the recipient of the 2013 ALPSP Award for Publishing Innovation. PeerJ Media Resources (including logos) can be found at: <u>peerj.com/about/press</u>

###

Media Contacts

For the authors:

For more information please contact Julia P G Jones <u>Julia.jones@bangor.ac.uk</u>, 0044 (0)7792509474 0044 (0)1248382650

For PeerJ: email: press@peerj.com , https://peerj.com/about/press/

Note: If you would like to join the PeerJ Press Release list, please register at: <u>http://bit.ly/PressList</u>